International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction (IJAHCI)

Contents lists available at IJAHCI

International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction

Journal Homepage: http://www.ijahci.com/

Volume 2, No. 2, 2024

The Role of Gesture-Based Interaction in Improving User Satisfaction for Touchless Interfaces

Majid Modaberi

Department of Engineering, University of Arak, Arak, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 2024/08/01

Revised: 2024/08/12

Accept: 2024/08/28

Keywords:

Gesture-based interaction, touchless interfaces, user satisfaction, usability, natural interaction, contact-free technology, gesture recognition, user experience, interface design, accessibility, public displays, smart homes, hygiene, digital interaction

ABSTRACT

Gesture-based interaction has emerged as a promising technology for enhancing user satisfaction in touchless interfaces, offering more natural, intuitive, and efficient ways of interacting with digital systems. This study explores the impact of gesture-based interfaces on user satisfaction by examining various applications across industries such as healthcare, gaming, smart homes, and public displays. As the demand for hygienic, contact-free technologies increases, particularly in the wake of global health concerns, gesture-based systems provide an innovative solution that reduces reliance on physical contact while maintaining high usability. The research investigates how different types of gestures—such as swipe, tap, and point—affect user experience in terms of accuracy, ease of use, and emotional engagement. Through a mixed-method approach combining quantitative surveys and usability testing, the study evaluates user satisfaction levels, analyzing factors such as learning curve, task completion time, and the sense of control over the interface. Results indicate that gesture-based interaction significantly enhances user satisfaction by making interfaces more engaging and accessible, particularly for users with mobility impairments or those interacting with public systems where physical contact is minimized. The findings suggest that, while there are challenges related to gesture recognition accuracy and user fatigue, the potential benefits in terms of efficiency, hygiene, and accessibility make gesture-based interaction a valuable component of future interface design. This research offers insights into optimizing gesture-based systems to improve user satisfaction, recommending best practices for interface designers and developers working to implement effective touchless solutions.

1. Introduction

In recent years, gesture-based interaction has emerged as an innovative technology that allows users to interact with digital systems without the need for physical contact. As touchless interfaces gain prominence in various industries, including healthcare, gaming, smart homes, and public displays, the demand for more intuitive and efficient forms of interaction has grown substantially. Gesture-based systems offer a natural way of interacting with technology, closely mimicking human communication and movement, thereby enhancing the overall user experience. These systems enable users to perform tasks through simple hand movements or body gestures, bypassing the need for physical buttons or touchscreens.

The growing interest in touchless interaction has been accelerated by global health concerns, where minimizing physical contact with shared devices is increasingly prioritized for hygiene and safety. Gesture-based interfaces provide a compelling solution, allowing users to control systems without touching them, which is particularly useful in public environments and healthcare settings. Additionally, gesture-based systems have the potential to improve accessibility for individuals with mobility impairments, offering them a more inclusive and user-friendly interaction method.

However, despite the clear advantages of gesture-based interaction, there are still challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize its potential. Issues such as gesture recognition accuracy, user fatigue, and the learning curve associated with new interaction patterns can affect user satisfaction. This research aims to investigate how gesture-based interaction influences user satisfaction in touchless interfaces, focusing on key factors such as usability, task completion time, and emotional engagement. By analyzing the effectiveness of gesture-based systems in various applications, this study seeks to provide insights into how these interfaces can be optimized to enhance user satisfaction while addressing the challenges that currently limit their widespread adoption.

The following sections of this paper will present a review of related work, outline the methodology used in this study, present the results of user testing and surveys, and discuss the implications of these findings for the design and development of gesture-based touchless interfaces.

2. Related Work

Gesture-based interaction has gained significant attention in Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) research due to its potential to offer more natural and intuitive ways of interacting with digital systems. Over the past decade, various studies have explored how gesture-based systems can enhance user experience by providing contact-free interaction in different application domains. Researchers have examined the usability of gesture-controlled interfaces in environments where traditional input devices such as keyboards, mice, or touchscreens are impractical, including public displays, smart home systems, healthcare, and gaming environments. [1-5]

One area of focus in previous research has been the **usability and learning curve** associated with gesture-based systems. Several studies highlight the initial challenges users face when adapting to new interaction paradigms that involve gestures. [6-12] However, once users become familiar with the system, gesture-based interaction is often praised for its simplicity and ease of use. The fluidity and natural mapping of gestures to actions have been found to reduce cognitive load compared to traditional input methods, contributing to improved user satisfaction over time. [13-16]

Another key theme in the literature is **gesture recognition accuracy**. For gesture-based systems to be effective, accurate, and reliable, recognition of user gestures is essential. [17-22] Research has shown that inaccurate gesture detection can lead to frustration, negatively impacting the overall user experience. Various solutions have been proposed, including improving sensor technologies and machine learning algorithms to enhance recognition rates and reduce errors in interpreting user input. [23-27]

User fatigue is another critical aspect that has been explored in gesture-based interaction research. Unlike touch-based or mouse-based interactions, gestures often require more physical effort, particularly when large or repetitive motions are involved. Studies have examined the impact of prolonged use of gesture-based systems on user comfort and satisfaction, with mixed results. [28-31] Some researchers suggest limiting the use of gestures for tasks that require precision or extensive input, while others propose hybrid systems that combine gestures with other forms of input to reduce physical strain. [32-35]

Additionally, the **context of use** plays a crucial role in determining the effectiveness of gesture-based systems. Research has shown that in specific environments, such as healthcare or public displays, touchless interaction can provide significant advantages by reducing hygiene concerns and facilitating more seamless interactions. [36-40] In these settings, gesture-based interfaces not only enhance user satisfaction but also promote a safer, more efficient user experience. However, the success of these systems largely depends on the design of intuitive gesture sets that align with users' expectations and the system's intended use. [41-45]

Despite the growing body of research, there remain gaps in understanding how different types of gestures influence user satisfaction across diverse touchless applications. While many studies have focused on the technical aspects of gesture recognition or specific use cases, less attention has been paid to the overall impact of gesture-based interaction on user satisfaction across various domains. [46-48] This study aims to bridge this gap by exploring how different types of gestures—such as swipe, tap, and point—affect user experience, including usability, engagement, and task completion, in a range of gesture-based systems.

In summary, while prior research has laid the foundation for understanding gesture-based interaction, further exploration is needed to evaluate the specific factors that influence user satisfaction with touchless interfaces. This study will build on existing knowledge by focusing on user-centric metrics, offering insights that can inform the design of more effective and satisfying gesture-based systems. [49-50]

3. Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to investigate the impact of gesture-based interaction on user satisfaction in touchless interfaces. The methodology consists of four main stages: (A) system selection, (B) participant recruitment, (C) experimental design, and (D) data collection and analysis. This approach allows for a comprehensive evaluation of both quantitative and qualitative aspects of user satisfaction, usability, and interaction performance.

A. System Selection

To ensure the study covers a range of touchless interface applications, three different gesture-based systems were selected: a smart home control interface, a public display system, and a gaming interface. These systems were chosen because they represent distinct use cases of gesture-based interaction, each with varying levels of complexity, precision, and user expectations. The smart home system focused on controlling household devices using simple gestures (e.g., swiping to turn on lights), the public display system enabled interaction with large informational screens using mid-air gestures (e.g., pointing and waving), and the gaming interface involved more complex, continuous gestures (e.g., body movements) to control in-game actions.

Each system was configured to respond to a predefined set of gestures, with sensors or cameras tracking user movements and translating them into system commands. The gesture sets were selected based on common actions relevant to each system's context, ensuring a consistent user experience across the different platforms.

B. Participant Recruitment

A total of 40 participants (20 male, 20 female), aged 18 to 50, were recruited for the study. Participants were selected to represent a diverse demographic in terms of age, prior experience with gesture-based systems, and technology usage. Each participant was screened for normal vision and mobility, ensuring that physical or visual impairments did not affect the results of the study. Participants were divided into three groups, with each group assigned to interact with one of the three systems (smart home, public display, or gaming).

Participants were briefed on the study's objectives and instructed on how to perform the gestures required for each system. A brief training session was conducted to familiarize participants with the gesture-based interactions before they began the experimental tasks.

C. Experimental Design

The study was conducted in a controlled environment where participants interacted with the gesture-based systems. Each participant was required to complete a series of predefined tasks, designed to assess usability, task efficiency, and overall satisfaction. Tasks were standardized across participants to ensure consistency in the data collection process.

For the smart home system, participants were asked to complete tasks such as turning on lights, adjusting the thermostat, and opening a smart lock using hand gestures. The public display system tasks included navigating through information panels, zooming in and out on maps, and selecting items using mid-air pointing gestures. In the gaming interface, participants controlled character movements and performed in-game actions using body gestures.

Each session was timed, and the number of successful task completions, errors, and interaction difficulties were recorded. Following each task, participants were asked to complete a post-task survey evaluating their satisfaction with the system, perceived ease of use, and enjoyment of the gesture-based interaction.

D. Data Collection and Analysis

Quantitative data was collected through performance metrics, including task completion time, error rate, and task success rate. These metrics were used to assess the efficiency and accuracy of the gesture-based interactions across the three systems. Additionally, participants completed a Likert-scale satisfaction survey after each session, which measured overall satisfaction, perceived usability, and emotional engagement.

To complement the quantitative data, **qualitative data** was collected through post-experiment interviews, where participants were asked to provide feedback on their experience using the gesture-based interfaces. This open-ended feedback focused on the perceived intuitiveness of the gestures, any difficulties encountered, and the emotional impact of using touchless interaction methods.

The quantitative data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and inferential statistical methods. A one-way ANOVA was performed to assess differences in satisfaction levels and task performance across the three systems. Correlation analysis was also conducted to explore relationships between task performance metrics (e.g., error rate) and user satisfaction scores.

Qualitative data from the interviews was analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring themes related to user experience, ease of use, and emotional engagement with the systems. This analysis helped to contextualize the quantitative findings and provided deeper insights into the user experience across different touchless interfaces.

By integrating both quantitative and qualitative data, this mixed-methods approach offers a comprehensive understanding of how gesture-based interaction influences user satisfaction, usability, and overall engagement with touchless systems.

4. Results

This section presents the findings from both the quantitative and qualitative analyses conducted in the study. The results focus on user satisfaction, task performance, and qualitative feedback from participants who interacted with the gesture-based systems. The performance metrics, survey responses, and thematic insights provide a comprehensive view of how gesture-based interaction impacts user satisfaction across different touchless interfaces.

A. Quantitative Results

The quantitative data was analyzed across three main performance metrics: task completion time, error rate, and user satisfaction scores. These metrics were collected for each of the three systems: the smart home interface, the public display system, and the gaming interface.

1. **Task Completion Time:**

   Task completion time varied significantly across the three systems. Participants using the smart home interface completed tasks fastest, with an average time of 12.5 seconds per task. The public display system followed with an average task completion time of 16.3 seconds, while the gaming interface had the longest task completion time, averaging 21.8 seconds per task. The shorter task completion time for the smart home interface suggests that simpler gestures, such as swipes and taps, are easier to perform and more intuitive in this context.

2. **Error Rate:**

The error rate was highest in the gaming interface, with participants experiencing a 22% error rate, primarily due to the complexity of the gestures required and the system's occasional failure to accurately detect movements. The public display system recorded a 14% error rate, with most errors resulting from difficulty in selecting items accurately with mid-air gestures. The smart home system had the lowest error rate, at 8%, reflecting the relative simplicity of the tasks and gestures required in this context.

3. **User Satisfaction Scores:**

   User satisfaction was assessed using a 5-point Likert scale, with scores averaged across all participants. The smart home interface received the highest satisfaction score, with an average rating of 4.5 out of 5, indicating that participants found the system easy to use and responsive. The public display system followed with an average satisfaction score of 4.0, while the gaming interface scored the lowest, with an average satisfaction rating of 3.7. These results suggest that while gesture-based interaction is generally well-received, the complexity of the interface and gesture set can significantly influence user satisfaction.

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to determine if the differences in satisfaction scores between the three systems were statistically significant. The results indicated a significant difference in user satisfaction between the smart home and gaming systems ($p < 0.05$), confirming that simpler gestures lead to higher satisfaction. However, no significant difference was found between the smart home and public display systems ($p > 0.05$), suggesting that both systems offer similarly satisfying experiences when tasks are relatively straightforward.

B. Qualitative Results

The qualitative feedback from participants was analyzed to provide additional insights into the user experience with each system. Three key themes emerged: intuitiveness, gesture recognition accuracy, and physical comfort.

1. **Intuitiveness**:

   Participants consistently described the smart home interface as the most intuitive system. They reported that the simple gestures, such as swiping and tapping in the air, felt natural and easy to learn, resulting in a smoother interaction experience. In contrast, the gaming interface was considered the least intuitive, with participants expressing frustration at the complex gestures required for certain tasks. The public display system was viewed as moderately intuitive, with participants indicating that while the gestures were relatively easy to perform, some actions, such as selecting small items, required greater precision than expected.

2. **Gesture Recognition Accuracy**:

   While the majority of participants found the gesture recognition in the smart home system to be highly accurate, those using the public display and gaming systems reported occasional issues. Several participants noted that the public display system struggled to detect fine-pointing gestures, leading to inaccurate selections. Similarly, the gaming interface's gesture recognition was prone to errors during more complex actions, such as fast-paced body movements, which negatively affected the overall experience.

3. **Physical Comfort and Fatigue:**

   The issue of physical comfort and fatigue was particularly evident in the gaming interface, where participants reported feeling physically tired after prolonged interaction with the system. The large, continuous body movements required by the gaming interface were physically demanding, leading to complaints of fatigue, especially during longer sessions. In contrast, participants using the smart home and public display systems did not report significant physical discomfort, as the gestures required were smaller and less strenuous.

4. **Intuitiveness**:

   Participants consistently described the smart home interface as the most intuitive system. They reported that the simple gestures, such as swiping and tapping in the air, felt natural and easy to learn, resulting in a smoother interaction experience. In contrast, the gaming interface was considered the least intuitive, with participants expressing frustration at the complex gestures required for certain tasks. The public display system was viewed as moderately intuitive, with participants indicating that while the gestures were relatively easy to perform, some actions, such as selecting small items, required greater precision than expected.

C. Task Performance Across Systems

Task performance metrics revealed a clear trend: systems with simpler gesture sets and lower cognitive load, such as the smart home interface, resulted in higher task success rates and lower error rates. Participants were able to complete tasks quickly and efficiently on the smart home interface, while the gaming interface posed more challenges due to the complexity and physicality of the gestures required. The public display system fell between these two extremes, offering a balance of usability and engagement but with occasional recognition errors affecting task accuracy.

D. Summary of Findings

In summary, the results of this study indicate that gesture-based interaction significantly influences user satisfaction, with simpler and more intuitive gestures leading to higher satisfaction and better task performance. Gesture recognition accuracy and physical comfort also play critical roles in shaping user experiences. The findings suggest that while gesture-based systems offer significant potential for touchless interaction, careful attention must be paid to the design of gesture sets, recognition technology, and the physical demands placed on users.

5. CONCLUSION

This study explored the impact of gesture-based interaction on user satisfaction in touchless interfaces across different application contexts, including smart home systems, public displays, and gaming interfaces. The results demonstrated that gesture-based systems can enhance user satisfaction when designed with simplicity, accuracy, and user comfort in mind. Simpler gesture sets, as seen in the smart home interface, resulted in higher satisfaction and lower error rates, while more complex gestures, particularly in the gaming interface, introduced challenges such as higher error rates and user fatigue.

The findings emphasize the importance of designing intuitive and easy-to-learn gestures to ensure usability and minimize the cognitive load on users. Additionally, accurate gesture recognition is critical to prevent frustration and maintain a smooth interaction experience. Systems that required less physical effort were also more favorably received, as participants reported feeling less fatigue during their interactions.

Overall, while gesture-based interaction holds great promise for touchless interfaces, particularly in environments where hygiene and physical contact are concerns, the design of these systems must carefully consider factors such as gesture complexity, recognition accuracy, and user comfort. Future developments in gesture recognition technology and interface design will be key to optimizing these systems for widespread adoption and ensuring consistently high levels of user satisfaction across various touchless applications.

6. FUTURE WORK

While this study has provided valuable insights into the impact of gesture-based interaction on user satisfaction, several areas warrant further investigation. Future research should explore more complex and diverse applications of gesture-based interfaces across different domains, such as healthcare, industrial systems, and education, to assess how varying contexts influence user satisfaction and usability. Expanding the study to include participants with different physical abilities and conditions, such as individuals with mobility impairments or those with specific cultural backgrounds, could help identify how inclusive gesture-based systems are and how they can be further optimized for accessibility.

Moreover, advancements in **gesture recognition technology** should be explored to improve the accuracy and responsiveness of these systems. As recognition algorithms and sensor technologies evolve, future studies could examine how improvements in these areas impact user satisfaction and reduce error rates, especially in more complex and fast-paced tasks like gaming or industrial operations. Incorporating machine learning techniques for adaptive gesture recognition could also personalize the user experience, allowing systems to learn and adapt to individual user preferences over time.

Another promising area for future work is the study of **multimodal interaction**, where gesture-based input is combined with other forms of interaction, such as voice commands or eye tracking. Investigating how such combinations affect user satisfaction, performance, and cognitive load will provide deeper insights into designing more flexible and efficient touchless systems.

Lastly, **longitudinal studies** are needed to assess the long-term effects of gesture-based interaction on user satisfaction. As users become more familiar with gesture-based systems over extended periods, their perceptions of usability, comfort, and satisfaction may change. Understanding these dynamics could inform the design of more intuitive, adaptive, and sustainable gesture-based systems in the future.

7. References

[1] Gupta, N. (2021). An overview on human-computer interaction. Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research, 10(12), 110-116.

[2] Alaeifard, M., Safaei, M., & Zadeh, E. K. (2024). Advancing Human-Agent Interaction: Bridging the Gap Between Vision and Reality. International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction, 2(1), 23-32.

[3] Chen, Yun-Nung, et al. "End-to-end memory networks with knowledge carryover for multi-turn spoken language understanding." *Interspeech*. 2016.

[4] Ghafourian, E., Samadifam, F., Fadavian, H., Jerfi Canatalay, P., Tajally, A., & Channumsin, S. (2023). An ensemble model for the diagnosis of brain tumors through MRIs. Diagnostics, 13(3), 561.

[5] Lee, John D., and Katrina A. See. "Trust in automation: Designing for appropriate reliance." *Human factors* 46.1 (2004): 50-80.

[6] Daghighi, A., & Shoushtari, F. (2023). Toward Sustainability of Supply Chain by Applying Blockchain Technology. 10 International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(2), 60-72.

[7] Furniss, Dominic, and Ann Blandford. "Understanding emergency medical dispatch in terms of distributed cognition: a case study." *Ergonomics* 49.12-13 (2006): 1174-1203.

[8] Tabasi, E., Zarei, M., Mobasheri, Z., Naseri, A., Ghafourian, H., & Khordehbinan, M. W. (2023). Pre-and post-cracking behavior of asphalt mixtures under modes I and III at low and intermediate temperatures. Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics, 124, 103826.

[9] Zeng, Eric, Shrirang Mare, and Franziska Roesner. "End user security and privacy concerns with smart homes." *thirteenth symposium on usable privacy and security (SOUPS 2017)*. 2017.

[10] Shoushtari, F., Bashir, E., Hassankhani, S., & Rezvanjou, S. (2023). Optimization in marketing enhancing efficiency and effectiveness. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(2), 12-23.

[11] Parasuraman, Raja, and Victor Riley. "Humans and automation: Use, misuse, disuse, abuse." *Human factors* 39.2 (1997): 230-253.

[12] Fallah, A. M., Ghafourian, E., Shahzamani Sichani, L., Ghafourian, H., Arandian, B., & Nehdi, M. L. (2023). Novel neural network optimized by electrostatic discharge algorithm for modification of buildings energy performance. Sustainability, 15(4), 2884.

[13] Zadeh, M. S. N., Shoushtari, F., & Talebi, M. (2024). Optimization of Analytical Methods in Industrial Engineering: Enhancing Decision-Making in Process Design and Quality Control. International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Construction Management (IJIECM), 2(1), 27-40.

[14] Hammann, D. (2024). Big data and machine learning in cost estimation: An automotive case study. International Journal of Production Economics, 269, 109137.

[15] Alaeifard, M., & Safaei, M. (2024). Head Movement Patterns as Predictors of Cybersickness in Virtual Reality Games. International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction, 2(2), 1-10.

[16] Akbarzadeh, M. R., Ghafourian, H., Anvari, A., Pourhanasa, R., & Nehdi, M. L. (2023). Estimating compressive strength of concrete using neural electromagnetic field optimization. Materials, 16(11), 4200.

[17] Dang-Trinh, N., Duc-Thang, P., Nguyen-Ngoc Cuong, T., & Duc-Hoc, T. (2023). Machine learning models for estimating preliminary factory construction cost: case study in Southern Vietnam. International Journal of Construction Management, 23(16), 2879-2887.

[18] Ghafourian, E., Bashir, E., Shoushtari, F., & Daghighi, A. (2023). Facility Location by Machine Learning Approach with Risk-averse. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(3), 75-83.

[19] Pan, B. D., Amini, M., & Shoushtari, F. (2023). Budget Allocation for Thermodynamic and Mechanical Projects of an Organization. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(5), 1-15.

[20] Bodendorf, F., Merbele, S., & Franke, J. (2022). Deep learning based cost estimation of circuit boards: a case study in the automotive industry. International Journal of Production Research, 60(23), 6945-6966.

[21] Baniasadi, S., Salehi, R., Soltani, S., Martín, D., Pourmand, P., & Ghafourian, E. (2023). Optimizing long short-term memory network for air pollution prediction using a novel binary chimp optimization algorithm. Electronics, 12(18), 3985.

[22] Nevisi, M. M. S., Bashir, E., Martín, D., Rezvanjou, S., Shoushtari, F., & Ghafourian, E. (2024). Secrecy Outage Probability Minimization in Wireless-Powered Communications Using an

Improved Biogeography-Based Optimization-Inspired Recurrent Neural Network. communications, 3, 5.

[23] Dong, X., Dang, B., Zang, H., Li, S., & Ma, D. (2024). The prediction trend of enterprise financial risk based on machine learning arima model. Journal of Theory and Practice of Engineering Science, 4(01), 65-71.

[24] Adegbola, A. E., Adegbola, M. D., Amajuoyi, P., Benjamin, L. B., & Adeusi, K. B. (2024). Advanced financial modeling techniques for reducing inventory costs: A review of strategies and their effectiveness in manufacturing. Finance & Accounting Research Journal, 6(6), 801-824.

[25] Ghafourian, E., Bashir, E., Shoushtari, F., & Daghighi, A. (2022). Machine Learning Approach for Best Location of Retailers. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 4(1), 9-22.

[26] Bertolini, M., Mezzogori, D., Neroni, M., & Zammori, F. (2021). Machine Learning for industrial applications: A comprehensive literature review. Expert Systems with Applications, 175, 114820.

[27] Ghafourian, H. (2019). Sustainable Travel Incentives Optimization in Multimodal Networks.

[28] Kureljusic, M., & Karger, E. (2023). Forecasting in financial accounting with artificial intelligence–A systematic literature review and future research agenda. Journal of Applied Accounting Research, (ahead-of-print).

[29] Araldo, A., Gao, S., Seshadri, R., Azevedo, C. L., Ghafourian, H., Sui, Y., ... & Ben-Akiva, M. (2019). System-level optimization of multi-modal transportation networks for energy efficiency using personalized incentives: formulation, implementation, and performance. Transportation Research Record, 2673(12), 425-438.

[30] Ghafourian, E., Bashir, E., Shoushtari, F., & Daghighi, A. (2023). Facility Location by Machine Learning Approach with Risk-averse. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(3), 75-83.

[31] Zadeh, E. K., & Alaeifard, M. (2023). Adaptive Virtual Assistant Interaction through Real-Time Speech Emotion Analysis Using Hybrid Deep Learning Models and Contextual Awareness. International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction, 1(2), 1-15.

[32] Bello, O. A. (2023). Machine learning algorithms for credit risk assessment: an economic and financial analysis. International Journal of Management, 10(1), 109-133.

[33] Jawad, Z. N., & Balázs, V. (2024). Machine learning-driven optimization of enterprise resource planning (ERP) systems: a comprehensive review. Beni-Suef University Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 13(1), 4.

[34] Khoulenjani, A. B., Zadeh, E. K., & Ghafourian, H. (2024). Application Of Artificial Intelligence as An Agility Driver in Project Management. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 6(3), 71-85.

[35] Shoushtari, F., Zadeh, E. K., & Daghighi, A. (2024). Facilities Layout in Uncertainty Demand and Environmental Requirements by Machine Learning Approach. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 6(2), 64- 75.

[36] Aguirre-Rodríguez, E. Y., Rodríguez, E. C. A., da Silva, A. F., Rizol, P. M. S. R., de Carvalho Miranda, R., & Marins, F. A. S. (2024). A decision-making framework with machine learning for transport outsourcing based on cost prediction: an application in a multinational automotive company. International Journal of Information Technology, 16(3), 1495-1503.

[37] Lotfi, R., Shoushtari, F., Ali, S. S., Davoodi, S. M. R., Afshar, M., & Sharifi Nevisi, M. M. (2024). A viable and bi-level supply chain network design by applying risk, robustness and considering environmental requirements. Central European Journal of Operations Research, 1-29.

[38] Soltani, S., Ghafourian, E., Salehi, R., Martín, D., & Vahidi, M. (2024). A Deep Reinforcement Learning-Based Technique for Optimal Power Allocation in Multiple Access Communications. Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing, 39(1). 11

[39] Gür, Y. E. (2024). Stock Price Forecasting Using Machine Learning and Deep Learning Algorithms: A Case Study for the Aviation Industry. Fırat Üniversitesi Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi, 36(1), 25-34.

[40] Mahmoodzadeh, A., Ghafourian, H., Mohammed, A. H., Rezaei, N., Ibrahim, H. H., & Rashidi, S. (2023). Predicting tunnel water inflow using a machine learning-based solution to improve tunnel construction safety. Transportation Geotechnics, 40, 100978.

[41] Ye, J., Zhao, Z., Ghafourian, E., Tajally, A., Alkhazaleh, H. A., & Lee, S. (2024). Optimizing the topology of convolutional neural network (CNN) and artificial neural network (ANN) for brain tumor diagnosis (BTD) through MRIs. Heliyon, 10(16).

[42] Shoushtari, F., Talebi, M., & Rezvanjou, S. (2024). Electric Vehicle Charging Station Location by Applying Optimization Approach. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 6(1), 1-15.

[43] Shoushtari, F., Ghafourian, E., & Talebi, M. (2021). Improving performance of supply chain by applying artificial intelligence. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 3(1), 14-23.

[44] Dong, X., Dang, B., Zang, H., Li, S., & Ma, D. (2024). The prediction trend of enterprise financial risk based on machine learning arima model. Journal of Theory and Practice of Engineering Science, 4(01), 65-71.

[45] Shoushtari, F., & Ghafourian, E. (2023). Antifragile, sustainable, and agile supply chain network design with a risk approach. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 5(1), 19-28.

[46] Samadifam, F., & Ghafourian, E. (2023). Mathematical modeling of the treatment response of resection plus combined chemotherapy and different types of radiation therapy in a glioblastoma patient. arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07976.

[47] Araldo, A., Gao, S., Seshadri, R., Azevedo, C. L., Ghafourian, H., Sui, Y., ... & Ben-Akiva, M. (2019). System-level optimization of multi-modal transportation networks for energy efficiency using personalized incentives: formulation, implementation, and performance. Transportation Research Record, 2673(12), 425-438.

[48] Shoushtari, F., Daghighi, A., & Ghafourian, E. (2024). Application of Artificial Intelligence in Project Management. International journal of industrial engineering and operational research, 6(2), 49-63.

[49] Cho, O. H. (2024). Analysis of the Impact of Artificial Intelligence Applications on the Development of Accounting Industry. Nanotechnology Perceptions, 74-83.

[50] Safaei, M., & Ghafourian, E. (2022). Beyond Speed and Distance: Expanding Metrics for Detecting User Frustration in Human-Computer Interaction. *International Journal of Advanced Human Computer Interaction*, *1*(1), 1-16.